

1 EILEEN M. DECKER  
2 United States Attorney  
3 PATRICK R. FITZGERALD  
4 Assistant United States Attorney  
5 Chief, National Security Division  
6 JAY H. ROBINSON (Cal. Bar No. 230015)  
7 MELANIE SARTORIS (Cal. Bar No. 217560)  
8 Assistant United States Attorneys  
9 Terrorism and Export Crimes Section  
10 1500 United States Courthouse  
11 312 North Spring Street  
12 Los Angeles, California 90012  
13 Telephone: (951) 276-6267  
14 (213) 894-5615  
15 Facsimile: (951) 276-6202  
16 (213) 894-7631  
17 E-mail: jay.robinson@usdoj.gov  
18 melanie.sartoris@usdoj.gov

19 Attorneys for Plaintiff  
20 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

21 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

22 FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

23 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

24 No. CR 16-292-JGB

25 Plaintiff,

26 [PROPOSED] ORDER CONTINUING TRIAL  
27 DATE AND FINDINGS REGARDING  
EXCLUDABLE TIME PERIODS PURSUANT  
TO SPEEDY TRIAL ACT

v.

28 MARIYA CHERNYKH,  
TATIANA FAROOK, and  
SYED RAHEEL FAROOK,

[PROPOSED] TRIAL DATE:  
March 28, 2017

Defendants.

[PROPOSED] STATUS CONFERENCE DATE:  
March 13, 2017

29 The Court has read and considered the Stipulation Regarding  
30 Request for (1) Continuance of Trial Date and (2) Findings of  
31 Excludable Time Periods Pursuant to Speedy Trial Act, filed by the  
32 parties in this matter. The Court hereby finds that the Stipulation,  
33 which this Court incorporates by reference into this Order,  
34 demonstrates facts that support a continuance of the trial date in

1 this matter, and provides good cause for a finding of excludable time  
2 pursuant to the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161.

3       The Court further finds that: (i) the ends of justice served by  
4 the continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and  
5 defendant in a speedy trial; (ii) failure to grant the continuance  
6 would be likely to make a continuation of the proceeding impossible,  
7 or result in a miscarriage of justice; and (iii) failure to grant the  
8 continuance would unreasonably deny defendant continuity of counsel  
9 and would deny defense counsel the reasonable time necessary for  
10 effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due  
11 diligence.

12       THEREFORE, FOR GOOD CAUSE SHOWN:

13       1. The trial in this matter is continued from November 8,  
14 2016, to March 28, 2017 at 9:00 a.m. The status conference hearing  
15 is continued from October 31, 2016, to March 13, 2017 at 2:00 p.m.

16       2. The time period of November 8, 2016 to March 28, 2017,  
17 inclusive, is excluded in computing the time within which the trial  
18 must commence, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(7)(A), (h)(7)(B)(i),  
19 and (B)(iv).

20       3. Defendants shall appear in Courtroom 1 of the Federal  
21 Courthouse, 3470 Twelfth Street, Riverside, California on March 13,  
22 2017 at 2:00 p.m., and March 28, 2017 at 9:00 a.m.

23       4. Nothing in this Order shall preclude a finding that other  
24 provisions of the Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time  
25 periods are excluded from the period within which trial must

26       ///

27       ///

28       ///

1 commence. Moreover, the same provisions and/or other provisions of  
2 the Speedy Trial Act may in the future authorize the exclusion of  
3 additional time periods from the period within which trial must  
4 commence.

5 IT IS SO ORDERED.  
6

7 DATE

---

HONORABLE JESUS G. BERNAL  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

9  
10 Presented by:  
11

12 /s/ Melanie Sartoris  
13 MELANIE SARTORIS  
Assistant United States Attorney  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28